

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

---

<b>In re</b>	)
	) <b>Chapter 11</b>
<b>TRONOX INCORPORATED, et al.,</b>	) <b>Case No. 09-10156 (MEW)</b>
	) <b>Jointly Administered</b>
<b>Reorganized Debtors.</b>	)

---

**ORDER SPECIFYING MEANS OF PROVIDING NOTICE OF COURT’S DECISION  
AND ORDERS REGARDING (1) PENDING MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION OF  
THIS COURT’S MARCH 10, 2021 DECISIONS AND SUBSEQUENT ORDERS  
DENYING CERTAIN PENDING MOTIONS BY TORT CLAIMANTS FOR RELIEF  
FROM THE 2009 BAR DATE, AND AS TO (2) THOSE MOTIONS FOR BAR DATE  
RELIEF AS TO WHICH THE COURT PERMITTED SUPPLEMENT SUBMISSIONS**

On this same date, the Court has issued its decision (the “**March 2022 Decision**”) as to (1) pending motions seeking reconsideration of the Court’s March 10, 2021 decision [ECF No. 9498] (the “**Prior Decision**”) and related Orders [ECF Nos. 9502 through 9507] denying motions by tort claimants for relief from the 2009 bar date, and (2) those motions for bar date relief as to which the Court had permitted supplemental submissions to be filed. The Court will defer the entry of Orders with respect to these matters, as set forth below, because of the logistical challenges associated with the preparation and sending of notices to movants and so as to ensure that movants have a reasonable time to file any appeals they may wish to file. The Court hereby orders as follows:

1. The Trust shall post copies of the Court’s March 2022 Decision and full copies of this Order and its attachments on the Trust’s website.
2. Separate orders will be entered that reflect the Court’s rulings, and separate forms of notice will be provided to the movants who are covered by different Orders. More particularly:

(a) An Order in the form of **Exhibit A** will be entered with respect to one motion for reconsideration that will be granted solely to the extent that the claimant may allege that a particular condition was not first diagnosed until after the August 12, 2009 bar date. The movant whose motion is covered by the Order to be entered as Exhibit A is identified in **Exhibit A1** to this Order and will be sent a notice in the form attached as **Exhibit A2** to this Order.

(b) An Order in the form of **Exhibit B** will be entered with respect to motions for reconsideration that have been denied in their entirety. Movants whose motions are covered by the Order attached as Exhibit B, and whose motions are denied on the merits, are identified in **Exhibit B1** to this Order and will be sent notices in the form attached as **Exhibit B2** to this Order.

(c) An Order in the form of **Exhibit C** will be entered with respect to one motion that is being denied as premature, because it seeks reconsideration as to which no ruling has yet been made by the Court. The movant whose motion is covered by the Order attached as Exhibit C is identified in **Exhibit C1**, and such movant will be sent a notice in the form attached as **Exhibit C2** to this Order.

(c) An Order in the form of **Exhibit D** will be entered with respect to motions for which supplemental submissions were permitted but were not filed and that are now being denied in their entirety. Movants whose motions are covered by the Order to be entered as Exhibit D are identified in **Exhibit D1** to this Order and will be sent notices in the form attached as **Exhibit D2** to this Order.

(d) An Order in the form of **Exhibit E** will be entered with respect to motions for which supplemental submissions were permitted but were not filed and that are being

denied as to pre-bar date conditions, but as to which the movants' claims based on conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures. Movants whose motions are covered by the Order to be entered as Exhibit E are identified in **Exhibit E1** and will be sent notices in the form attached as **Exhibit E2** to this Order.

(e) An Order in the form of **Exhibit F** will be entered with respect to motions for which supplemental submissions were filed and that are being granted. Movants whose motions are covered by the Order to be entered as Exhibit F are identified in **Exhibit F1** and will be sent notices in the form attached as **Exhibit F2** to this Order.

(f) An order in the form of **Exhibit G** will be entered with respect to motions for which supplemental submissions were filed but that are being denied in their entirety. Movants whose motions are covered by the Order to be entered as Exhibit G are identified in **Exhibit G1** to this Order and will be sent notices in the form attached as **Exhibit G2** to this Order.

(g) An Order in the form of **Exhibit H** will be entered with respect to motions for which supplemental submissions were filed and that are being denied as to pre-bar date conditions, but as to which the movants' claims based on conditions first diagnosed after the bar date are to be resolved by the Tort Claims Trust under its normal dispute resolution procedures. Movants whose motions are covered by the Order to be entered as Exhibit H are identified in **Exhibit H1** and will be sent notices in the form attached as **Exhibit H2** to this Order.

3. The Court's March 2021 Decision and accompanying Orders referred to a motion on behalf of "Evaleen Bridges" that had appeared on the Trust's list of pending motions but that

did not appear on the Court's docket. It appears that this motion actually corresponds to a motion that was addressed in the March 2021 Decision and accompanying Orders as having been filed by "Evaleem Bridges" and for which a motion for reconsideration has been filed. The Order attached hereto as Exhibit B will constitute a denial of the motion for reconsideration as to Evaleen Bridges and should be considered to be a final order as to claims by Evaleen/Evaleem Bridges.

4. The March 2021 Decision and accompanying Orders referred to a motion by "Mattie Jackson" that appeared on the Trust's summary. The March 2021 Decision and accompanying Orders denied a separate motion that had been filed by Mary Jackson with reference to a Mattie Jackson, but the Court had no record of a motion filed by Mattie Jackson on her own behalf. Three other motions that had been listed on the Trust's summary (on behalf of Timothy Byrd, TJ Godfrey and Stephanie Bluitt) also did not correspond to any motion on the Court docket and therefore were not ruled upon. The Court noted, with respect to each of those purported motions, that no ruling would be made except upon the receipt of motions by the Court. Nothing in this Decision, or the accompanying Orders, should be interpreted as ruling upon motions by Mattie Jackson (on her own behalf) or by Timothy Byrd, TJ Godfrey or Stephanie Bluitt.

5. As noted, the Orders in the forms attached hereto as Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H, when entered, will attach appropriate lists of the motions to which they relate and copies of the notices to be sent to movants. Those full lists need not be included in the copies of the Orders that are sent to individual movants. Instead, movants only need to receive copies of the relevant Order, a notice, and the page that sets forth the Court's rulings as to that movant's motion.

6. On or before March 15, 2022 the Trust is directed to prepare and to mail notices to movants, along with copies of other materials as specified in paragraph 5.

7. In order to allow time for the preparation and distribution of the notices, and to ensure reasonable notice of the Court's decision and Orders before appeal deadlines begin to run, the entry of the Orders attached as Exhibits A through H will be deferred until March 31, 2022.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: New York, New York  
March 3, 2022

/s/ Michael E. Wiles  
Honorable Michael E. Wiles  
United States Bankruptcy Judge